

## Dark Hill Junction With Davington Hill, Faversham – Double Yellow Lines

Comment No.	Resident Ref No.	Support/Objection Comments
1	1	Further extension in all directions would be welcome. Full of all-day parkers, preventing feeding ducks. Buses have to stop in middle of road, difficult to see passengers. Would it be possible to place time-restricted parking lines along this stretch?
2	2	Dark Hill / West St curves slightly around Stonebridge pond. Cars parked by pond obstruct views for drivers leaving Davington Hill. Drop Kerb between Davington Hill and Dark Hill junction by the entrance to the public area is obstructed by parked cars.
3	3	Sufficient space should be left for number 1 Davington Cottages to park 2 cars outside their house, otherwise knock on effect up the hill to cottages 2-8.
4	4	Concerned about parking for the cottages. Feel speed needs to be restricted.
5	5	Would like to see speed calming measures introduced along Dark Hill / Davington Hill. Speed up to 40-50 mph, its dangerous for people with children crossing by the pond.
6	6	Conditionally support if lines stop at a level middle of window of number1 Davington Hill to facilitate parking and should not extend further.
7	7	Conditionally agree but lines must stop by lounge window of 21 so we can park

## London Road, Sittingbourne – Double Yellow Lines

Comment No.	Resident Ref No.	Support/Objection Comments
1	1	Double yellow lines will not solve problems that residents are dealing with. Parking permits on other side of road would be more beneficial than yellow lines.
2	2	Support only if there will not be any parking restrictions/permit holders enforced on the other side of the road. This will cause problems if we have visitors.
3	3	If the proposal is changed double yellow lines will be required where the width is narrowed, this is the most dangerous section concerning our drive.
4	4	Very good idea.
5	5	Will double yellow lines continue past number 181? Cars park outside and either side of property making it difficult to get in and out of property. If this is not planned then cars will park outside as only alternative to park.
6	6	Parking situation has grown worse. Residents of 183/197 park on both sides of the road and not on their drives. Many residents have more than one car. I do not get home from work until after 8pm so have to park in The Grove or Gadby Road and I'm over 65 and do not need this. Proposed double yellow lines will make things worse and more vehicles will park outside my property. Would welcome any idea you may have to issue

		parking permits payable annually for a parking space outside my property.
7	7	Support this as parked cars cause blind spots, can take 5-10 minutes or longer to get out of driveway. When will it be done?
8	8	Parking is more difficult on Northern side since change to footway outside Elvy court. Do not willingly park on South side, lorries add to possibility of damage, Council should ban lorries using this road and through town centre. Situation not helped by visitors not using driveways, and parking on North side. Please consider residents parking only on the North side.
9	9	Not convinced there is a problem to fix and certainly do not want them outside my house.
10	10	Very good idea. Please consider double yellow lines on other side of road, there are a lot of cars parked on the footway, if lines are not put where you are proposing they will park on other side of the footway.
11	11	If double yellow lines are put on this side, you should write to people on other side of road saying they should park on their driveways and not park on our side, we have to park some distance away.
12	12	Residents with drives reverse out of their drives onto the London Road. It would be safer to reverse in and drive out forwards. Vehicles are parked on the south side as a last resort.
13	13	Support, as it is very difficult to enter and leave our driveway. During the day there is no problem on the North side, but the evening becomes impossible to find a space, hence use the south side. Where do you

14	14	<p>suggest residents and guests park? This was not a problem until Elvy Court was built and parking was prohibited outside number 204. You are only moving the problem.</p> <p>More cars will park outside our property as Yellow lines end just before our house.</p>
----	----	--

## Little Glovers, Sittingbourne – Double Yellow Lines

Comment No.	Resident Ref No.	Support/Objection Comments
1	1	<p>Double yellow lines outside number 6 and access road to 7 &amp; 8 are unnecessary to obtain access to 7 &amp; 8. This will escalate restricted parking and reduce value of properties. Where does funding come from. Development ended at 6. This problem is about access to 7 &amp; 8, as such no objection to double yellow lines along the fence opposite number 6 up to the corner stopping short of number 1 ensuring access to 7 &amp; 8 not impeded.</p>
2	2	<p>You have already received our comments. We cannot support double yellow lines on the double-parking bay outside number 6 or the ones proposed on land leading to number 7 and 8. We do agree with double yellow lines opposite our houses and around the curve, which is a blind bend. Resident parking would be the answer.</p>
3	3	<p>Will restrict parking for visitors; see no reason for double yellow lines. Number 6 will be seriously affected. Residents have respected one another's parking space outside each house for visitors. If double yellow lines are required they should be restricted to straight section outside number 5 &amp; 6 and part of the curve as marked on map.</p>
4	4	<p>No objection to lines on curve opposite numbers 6, 5 and 4, but do object to them across the top, as the square is for parking for the people who have lived there since 1972.</p>

5	5	No object to double yellow lines on the curve or straight line behind the gardens of numbers 20 and 19 Glovers Crescent, but see no reason to support double yellow lines in the parking bay outside number 6 Little Glovers or on any of the straight outside number 1. Will further restrict parking. Resident parking would be better solution.
6	6	Lines would make more problems and restrict residents at number 6.
7	7	No objection but who will enforce this. No reason for lines in front of number 1. Will limit parking unnecessarily. Would like sign saying private parking or access only, so we don't have parking on our drive for number 7 and 8.
8	8	Double yellow lines along fence, which makes the boundary of number 20 appropriate. The extension of lines across the entrance to numbers 7 and 8 and area outside is unnecessary and create congestion. Double yellow lines not enforceable so waste of money.

### Hinde Close Junction With North Street, Sittingbourne – Double Yellow Lines

Comment No.	Resident Ref No.	Support/Objection Comments
1	1	We fail to see how this proposal will improve the current situation, where speed is the bigger issue along North Road.
2	2	Needs to be extended to on one side to number 90 and the other side to number 95.
3	3	By putting double yellow lines in it would only move the problem next to the junction, which is outside my property?
4	4	I would like concrete bollards re-erected outside my house. Hope the double yellow lines work.
5	5	By restricting parking at the junction of Hinde Close, the problem, if there is one, will move into Trinity Road. Trinity Road is through road and has more traffic coming to the junction than Hinde Close.
6	6	Suggest railings on footway corner or bollards that will deter parking in that area. Area will need to be checked for a while to make sure it's working.
7	7	Should have been longer, North Street is accident waiting to happen.

8	8	Lines will only make it a racetrack. No consideration for residents, you will be taking away the little parking we have. Why not use speed humps instead.
9	9	Whilst we support proposal in principle, will this not simply move problem and not solve it. We are also concerned about speeding vehicles in this road; double yellow lines would help (less obstacles) but again not solve the problem.
10	10	I support the plan but would like to see something to protect people walking on the footway. Cars mount the footway to park close to the shop door, so we have to walk around them. Some cars drive along the footway to number 87 where they reach a drop kerb. Some of the bollards to stop this have been knocked down and not replaced. The drop kerb and bollards are too far apart allowing cars and vans to mount the kerb at speed.
11	11	There is a bus stop opposite our driveway, it would be better to extend double yellow lines past number 91 so not to block the road. Insufficient parking for shops, could spaces be created by corner shop.
12	12	Would like to see this enforced. Cars double park outside chip shop and block cars in. Worried this will get worse.
13	13	Proposed restrictions will be a waste of money. No one enforces the restrictions; people ignore double yellow lines, especially weekends and evenings. All this would do is push the problem to the next junction. Why not make the shop owner provide a car park in Hinde Close next to shop, it would provide approx 15 spaces.



14	14	<p>A handful of residents are complaining. If residents parked on their drives it would not be a problem but they park in the lay-by and their carers park in the lay-by. The council put in the bollards but they have been knocked down. Double yellow lines will be a waste of funds.</p>
15	15	<p>Feel a few residents are victimizing us. We wanted to extend our opening hours, but these people objected and the application refused. Are we the only two takeaways who have to close at 9pm? Now you are proposed double yellow lines, how are we supposed to make a living?</p>
16	16	<p>Introducing double yellow lines means all these extra cars and lorries will be parking in Hinda Close. Residents have trouble now getting out of their drives. A no through road sign might be acceptable. Children like to play in the street, so they should it is a cul-de sac after all.</p>
17	17	<p>I would agree if you double yellow line all of Hinde Close cul-de-sac as well. But if only as indicated on map I will disagree, vehicles will block our driveways and result in total blockage on North Street and time wasting for SBC</p>
18	18	<p>If you put double yellow lines where proposed, people will just come and park in Hinde Close. It will become a car park, our drives will be blocked and the children will not be able play outside safely.</p>

**ANNEX D**

**Minster Road/Scrapsgate Road, Minster - Proposed Double Yellow Lines**

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
		1		
		1		
		1		Put in a speed camera as well
		1		
		1		
		1		Very good idea
		1		DYL not extended on road opp. 169A. Need to match other side of road o/s 169A
		1		
		1		
		1		Car is parked halfway on f/w and h/w o/s my property giving no vision down Minster Road and causing problems pulling out of Scrapsgate Road
			1	Agree DYL lines but strongly object to the bus clearway spanning 162-168 Minster Road, speed restrictions would be better to improve safety. Traffic wheel spin out of Scrapsgate Road and vehicles drive at 50-70 past my house.
		<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	<b>16</b>
Number of letters returned	<b>11</b>
Return Percentage	<b>69</b>
Support Percentage	<b>91</b>
Object Percentage	<b>9</b>

**South Avenue Sittingbourne - Proposed Double Yellow Lines**

**ANNEX D**

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
		1		Stop people parking on the greens and paths, and blocking the Highway through parking indiscriminately
		1		Will make very little difference. Cars park o/s from 2.30pm outside property. Park on f/w and verges, very muddy in Winter. What happened to bollards that were going to be put in? One gets sick and tired of term time.
		1		
		1		general letter
		1		
		1		I hope that enforcement will ensure that measures protect children's safety
		1		Thank you SBC - These measures can only improve to the current situation
		<b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	<b>12</b>
Number of letters returned	<b>7</b>
Return Percentage	<b>58</b>
Support Percentage	<b>100</b>
Object Percentage	<b>0</b>